

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/583,297	08/14/2008	Ingo Speier	PH010528US2 (TIR071)	2651		
78823 Philips Intellec	7590 04/27/201 etual Property and Stand	EXAM	EXAMINER			
P.O. Box 3001			A, M	A, MINH D		
Briarcliff Man	or, NY 10510-8001	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			2821			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			04/27/2010	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief							

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/583,297	SPEIER, INGO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MINH D. A	2821		

	MINH D. A	2821					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 05 Abril 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MFP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
each bean filed is the date for purposes of determining the pariety of of ex- amer bean filed is the date for purposes of determining the pariety of of ex- ameter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later hay reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to ithin the time period set forth in 37 (avoid dismissal of the CFR 41.37(a).	appeal. Since a				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red		ne issues for				
(I) They present additional claims without canceling a to NOTE: The request for reconsideration has been considered in imitations "wherein the one or more first colour light-emitting eliminations with either emitting eliminations with the one or more white light-emitting correlated colour temperature when the light generated by the teories and the considerature is extracted and mixed." In page 3, line elements and the one or more second colour light-emitting elements and the one or more second colour light-emitting elements and the first white light are mixed in page 4, lines 10-13 in claid and the first white light are mixed in page 4. lines 10-13 in claid the first white light are mixed in page 4. lines 10-13 in claid the first white light are mixed in page 4. lines 10-13 in claid the first white light are mixed in page 4. lines 10-13 in claid the first white light are mixed in page 4. lines 10-13 in claid the first white light are mixed in page 4. lines 10-13 in claid the first white light are mixed in page 4. lines 10-13 in claid the first white light are mixed in page 4. lines 10-13 in claid the first white light are mixed in page 4. lines 10-13 in claid the first white light are mixed in page 4. lines 10-13 in claid the first white light are mixed in page 4. lines 10-13 in page 5. lines 10-13 in claid the first white white light are mixed in the first white light are mixed in the white light are mixed in the first white	ut does not place the application in ements and the one or more secon ag elements to provide the second ight module, including the first white s 1-5 in claim 1 and " arranging the nents in relationship with the one or four temperature when the first colo	condition for allowand d colour light-emitting white light having the elight having the parti one or more first colo more white light-emit ured light, the second	elements are desired cular colour light-emitting ting elements to				
. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appsel, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and				
□ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. □ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				

12. Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement(s)*. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____13. Other: _____.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)
/Douglas W Owens/

Application No.

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2821

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100412